

Non-metropolitan Local Official Consultation Process  
Comments Received

#	Region	by	Day Received	From	Organization	Type of Commentator*	Mode**	Nature of Comments***	Description
1	SE	email	1/21/2004	Hugh Bevan	City and Borough of Sitka	L		A, X	Satisfied with process, Req separate notification for tribes
2	SE	email	1/21/2004	Allan Heese	City and Borough of Juneau	L		A	Define "non-metropolitan," clarify local official with responsibility
3	CR	email	1/28/2004	David Matsuno	Ugashik Traditional Village	N		U	Wants tribal liaison position at DOT&PF
4	CR	email	1/28/2004	Doug Gibson	Bureau of Land Management	O		U	Opposed to SB 260, interfering with local government
5	CR	email	2/4/2004	David Matsuno	Ugashik Traditional Village	N		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation
6	CR	email	2/5/2004	Bruce Carr	Alaska Railroad Corporation	C	r	E, X	Wants consultation on all transportation modes
7	CR	email	2/5/2004	Anne Brooks	Brooks and Associates	I		E, X	Wants process to include tribal consultation, more defined consultation, time constraints, documentation, turnover concerns
8	NR	email	2/7/2004	Steve Brittain	Kenny Lake Community League	L		A	Supportive
9	SE	letter	2/10/2004	Greg Streveler	Gustavus Community Association	L		A	Supportive, wants redefining of "major" in major amendments to reflect relative importance to small communities, wants mechanism to make scanning STIP products easier for communities.
10	CR	fax, letter	2/11/2004	Pat Carlson	Kodiak Island Borough, Manager	L		A	Supportive
11		email	2/12/2004	Jennifer Bowman	FTA Region 10	O		A, E, X	Cite specific 17 AAC 05 paras, exact online URL in letter, time constraints. Include language from 23 CFR 450.212 (I) re adopting or not adopting proposed modifications and notification. Rec incl tribal consultation
12	NR	telephone	2/9/2004	Charlene Nollner	Native Village of Gakona	N		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation
13	NR	telephone	2/10/2004	John Kunik	Copper River Residents Against Bureaucracy	C		U	Supportive. Expressed concern over any non-elected person claiming to represent him, such as the Copper River Economic Development Association
14	NR	fax	2/12/2004	William Miller	Dot Lake Village Council	N		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation
15	NR	letter	2/12/2004	Katherine Lee	Nome resident	I		X	Suggestions for notifying tribes of STIP changes
16	SE	letter	2/12/2004	Meilani Schijvens	Southeast Conference	C		X	Supportive. Suggest include consultation with Southeast Conference for inter-community transportation projects.
17	SE	fax, email	2/13/2004	Sam Thomas	Craig Community Association & Organized Village of Kasaan	N		E, X	Supportive. Concerned about volume of consultation and relative standing in STIP. Doubtful that projects important to tribes will benefit without earmarks.
18	NR	fax, email	2/13/2004	Julianne Baltar	Kawerak, Inc.	N		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation, include regional non-profits as well
19	NR	email	2/13/2004	Larry Bredeman	Tanana Chiefs Conference, Inc.	N		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation
20	SE	fax	2/13/2004	Dan Moreno, Lawrence Widmark	Sitka Tribe of Alaska	N		A	Suggests adding reference to DOT&PF tribal consultation process
21	NR	fax	2/13/2004	Carl Otten	Native Village of St Michael	N		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation. Request relationships with city, tribe, and village corporation
22	CR	fax	2/13/2004	Wassillie Bavilla	Native Village of Kwinhagak, City of Quinhagak	N, L		E	Wants process to include tribal consultation
23	SE	fax	2/13/2004	Emily Ferry	Southeast Alaska Conservation Council	E		X, U	Supportive. Wants community-by-community analysis added, more effort into notifying all community members, community empowerment vs. "consider", collaborative brainstorming process
24	CR	email	1/26/2004	Jeff Nelson	NANA	R		E	Wants process to include consultation with Native corporations and tribal organizations
Received after February 13, 2004:									
25	SE	email	2/26/2004	Teresa Germain	CCTHTA	N		E, X, U	Wants process to include tribal consultation, wants tribal representation in project selection
26	CR	letter	2/26/2004	John Fulton	City of Dillingham	L		A	Supportive
27		fax/letter	2/26/2004	Peter Serrano	FHWA Alaska Office	O		X	Recommendations for textual consistency

Non-metropolitan Local Official Consultation Process  
Comments Received

<b>*Type of Commenter codes</b>	<b>**Mode codes</b>	<b>*** Nature of Comment codes</b>
D - DOT&PF	N - NHS routes	F - Funding
I - Individual	F- Ferries	A - Administrative
T - Tourism interest group	P - Ports & Harbors	S - Safety
C - Civic, advocacy, or business group	T - Transit	M - Local match sharing policy
L - Local government	B - Bicycle & Pedestrian	AP - Advance project
R - Regional Corporations	L - Local roads	RP - Reinstate project
O - State or Federal official	A - AHS routes	NP - New project
N - Tribal government	E - Enhancements	E - exclusivity concern
V - Village Corporation	r - Rail	X - procedural concern
E - Environmental group		U - separate issue concern